# BRITISH PHYSICS OLYMPIAD 2015-16 <br> A2 Challenge Sept/Oct 2015 

## SOLUTIONS

## Question 1

a.
i) Suitable diagram $\nabla$
ii) Momentum calculation; $1 \times 0.2+2 \times(-0.2)=0$ i.e. total zero. At rest $\nabla$
iii) At a point dividing the distance between the ships in the ratio 1:2 (closer to 2 tonne mass) $\nabla$ Zero $\square$
iv) Before $K E=1 / 2 m_{1} u_{1}{ }^{2}+1 / 2 m_{2} u_{2}{ }^{2}=1 / 2\left(1000 \times 0.2^{2}+2000 \times 0.1^{2}\right)=30 \mathrm{~J} \quad \nabla$;

After-zero $\quad \nabla$
v) Suitable diagram $\nabla$, Momentum calculation, total $0.1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to the right $\nabla$; position of c of m as before $\nabla$; 45 J before and 15 J after $\nabla$
vi) Kinetic energies may differ according to frame of reference, but the loss remains the same $\nabla$
(As a de-brief point, it is instructive to demonstrate that the energy change, $\Delta E=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2}$ is unaltered by a change to a frame of reference moving at speeds, which simply alters both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ by the same amount, $\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(u_{1}+\Delta u\right)-\left(u_{2}+\Delta u\right)\right)$ leaving the term $\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)$ unaltered.)
b.
i) Momentum calculation to show appropriate velocities $\nabla$

Initial velocity, $u$. Final velocities $v_{1}, v_{2}$. Identical masses.
Mom cons. $\quad v_{1}+v_{2}=u \quad$ (cancel through the $m$ )
KE cons. $\quad v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}=u^{2} \quad$ (cancel through by $1 / 2 m$ )
Algebra: $\quad\left(u-v_{1}\right)\left(u+v_{1}\right)=v_{2}^{2}$
And also $\left(u-v_{1}\right)=v_{2} \quad$ from the initial relation
If $v_{2} \neq 0$ then can divide the two equations, to get $\left(u+v_{1}\right)=v_{2}$.
Now add $\left(u+v_{1}\right)=v_{2}$ and $\left(u-v_{1}\right)=v_{2}$ to obtain $u=v_{2}$, so that $v_{1}=0$
Note that if $v_{2}=0$ then $v_{1}=u$ and momentum and KE are both conserved, but the particles do not actually collide.

There are several other algebraic routes, including direct substitution for $u$ say, giving $v_{1} \cdot v_{2}=0$. So either $v_{1}=0$ or $v_{2}=0$.
ii) Neutron comes to rest; proton ejected (as masses are virtually equal)
iii)


Let initial velocity be $v$ and final velocities be $\overrightarrow{v_{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_{2}}$. Vector diagram to show $m \overrightarrow{v_{1}}+m \overrightarrow{v_{2}}=m \vec{v} \quad \nabla \quad$ Elastic collision gives $1 / 2 \mathrm{mv}_{1}{ }^{2}+1 / 2 m v_{2}{ }^{2}=1 / 2 m v^{2}$ so $v_{1}{ }^{2}+v_{2}{ }^{2}=v^{2}$ implying (Pythagoras) that the momentum diagram is a right-angled triangle, proving the proposition. $\downarrow$ owwt

Note: the analysis given in (i) is adaptable. Write cons. of mom as $\vec{u}=\overrightarrow{v_{1}}+\overrightarrow{v_{2}}$. Squaring, $u^{2}=v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+2 \overrightarrow{v_{1}} \cdot \overrightarrow{v_{2}}$. With the KE result, $u^{2}=v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}$ clearly $2 \overrightarrow{v_{1}} \cdot \overrightarrow{v_{2}}=0$
Thus $v_{1}=0$ (linear collision as in (i)), $v_{2}=0$ (no collision), or $\cos \theta=0 \Rightarrow \theta=\pi / 2$
iv) Traces (such as cloud chamber tracks) seen to be perpendicular, when in the plane normal to the line of sight.
[16 marks]

## Question 2

a.
i) Initial volume $1000 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$; final volume $1000.40 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} \quad \nabla$ Increased (trivial)
ii) Bonds between atoms stretched, so a net volume increase reasonable owtte $\quad \square$
iii) lateral strain $=(-) 0.0003$; longitudinal strain $=0.001$. So Poisson Ratio (-)0.3

च.
b.
i) Use binomial theorem or error theory ideas :
$V=l A$ so $\frac{\delta V}{V}=\frac{\delta A}{A}+\frac{\delta l}{l}$, from binomial or by differentiation.
Since $\frac{\delta V}{V}=0$ for rubber, and since $\frac{\delta l}{l}$ increases by $2 \%$, then $\frac{\delta A}{A}$ reduces by $2 \% \quad \nabla$
ii) $\quad A=w^{2}$ so $\frac{\delta A}{A}=2 \frac{\delta w}{w}$. Hence $\frac{\delta w}{w}$ is reduced by $1 \% \quad \square$
iii) By inspection (-)0.5 $\quad \square$

## Question 3

a. i) Suitable symmetrical diagram
ii) Angle-sum of triangles gives $L i$ for incident ray as $(A+D) / 2 \quad \nabla \quad L r=A / 2 \quad \nabla$

$$
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\sin ((A+D) / 2)}{\sin (A / 2)} \quad \text { follows from Snell's Law } \nabla
$$

i) For $\sin \theta \approx \theta$ this reduces to $n=\frac{(A+D) / 2}{A / 2} \nabla$ which re-arranges to $D=(n-1) A$
b.
i) $\quad$ Deviation $=(n-1) A=0.5 \times 0.02=0.01 \mathrm{rad}$
ii) $\quad \mathrm{SS}_{1}=$ distance to prism $\times$ deviation angle $=0.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.01=0.001 \mathrm{~m} \quad \nabla$ So $S_{1} S_{2}=0.002 \mathrm{~m}$.
iii) Both derived from same source owtte
iv) fringe width, $w=\mathrm{L} \lambda / \mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}=(1.9+0.1) \nabla \times 5 \times 10^{-7} / 0.002=5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m} \quad \nabla$
[12 marks]

## Question 4

a. A real source with emf 3.0 V and internal resistance $1.0 \Omega$ is connected to a resistor of resistance $2.0 \Omega$.
i) $\quad \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {circuit }}=3 / 3=1 \mathrm{~A} \nabla \quad ; \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{IR}_{2 \Omega}=1 \times 2=2 \mathrm{~V} \nabla$
ii) Net emf $=3 \mathrm{~V}-3 \mathrm{~V}=0$, thus zero current also $\nabla$
iii) By symmetry, or folding over the circuit to superimpose the cells and $1 \Omega$ resistors, the system has $\mathrm{E}=3 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{r}=0.5 \Omega$ connected to $2.5 \Omega$ load. $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}=3 \mathrm{~V} / 3 \Omega=1 \mathrm{~A} \quad \nabla$
iv) $\quad$ Now for whole circuit, $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}=6 \mathrm{~V} / 2 \Omega=3 \mathrm{~A}$. $\square$ Consider either cell: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XY}}=$ zero $\nabla$
b. We will now explore the effect of internal resistance in some practical situations.
i) Current through person is $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}=5000 \mathrm{~V} / 10001000 \approx 0.5 \mathrm{~mA}$ (or potential divider idea, pd across person $\approx 5 \mathrm{~V}$ leads to $\mathrm{I}=0.5 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) $\boxtimes$ Therefore harmless (trivial)
ii)

1) Vit $=12 \mathrm{~V} \times 1 \mathrm{Ax}(60 \times 3600) \mathrm{s}=2.59 \mathrm{MJ}$
2) $\quad \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}=12 / 0.01=1200 \mathrm{~A} \quad \nabla ; \quad \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{R}=144 / 0.01=14.4 \mathrm{~kW} \nabla$
3) Heat inside the battery $\nabla$ eventually boils electrolyte with explosion risk or other sensible comment.
[11 marks]

## Question 5

This question looks at some practical consequences of the evaporation of liquids.
Placing a liquid in a vacuum (e.g. a leak from a space vehicle) forces it to evaporate and can lead to rapid cooling.
a. $\mathrm{mc} \Delta \mathrm{T}=0.01 \mathrm{~mL}$ hence $\Delta \mathrm{T}=0.01 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{c}=.01 \times 2.26 \times 10^{6} / 4200=5.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \nabla$, new temperature (assuming no other losses) is $4.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad \nabla$
b. All factors lead to rapid evaporation and thus heat loss and sensation of cold owtte $\square$
c. Draught enhances evaporation rate. Thus faster cooling owtte $\square$
d. More volatile liquids evaporate even faster $\nabla$

